[13th February 1958

Madurai that Sri Muthuramalinga Thevar was being implicated in the murder charge. So, while drafting a headline, I put in the news received from Madurai. I had separated it from the other news by punctuation and by a thick line.

It was only after I saw the news in print that I realized that it could be mistaken for an announcement by the Hon. Minister. A correction was published on 2nd November 1957.

I pray to be excused for the error, which I assure you is purely unintentional. I have no malice whatever towards anyone concerned.''

ANNEXURE IV.

26—11—1957 '' தினத் தந்தி '' யிலிருந்து எடுக்கப்பட்ட உண்மையான நகல்.

'' **30—10—1957** ' தினத் தந்தி ' யில் முத்துராமலிங்கத் தேவர் மீது கொலே வழக்கு ' என்று பிரசுரமாகி இருக்கும் தலைப்பு தவறு. தவறு ஏற்பட்டதற்கு வருந்துகிறோம். ''

ANNEXURE V.

NOTE CIRCULATED TO THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES.

On the 1st November 1957, Sri K. Sattanatha Karayalar, M.L.A., raised a privilege issue that the headlines published on page 1 of the '' Dhina Thanthi '', City Edition, dated 30th October 1957, to the effect that there was a murder case against Sri U. Muthuramalinga Thevar and that Sri C. Subramaniam, Minister, made this statement in the Assembly on the 29th October 1957, constituted a breach of privilege of the House as no such statement was made either by the Minister or by any other member.

The headlines and report complained of are appended in Tamil).

Before giving his decision as to whether there was a *prima facie* case of breach of privilege, the Hon. Speaker wanted to have the views of the Members of the House. Both Sri M. Kalyanasundaram and the Hon. Sri C. Subramaniam said that there was a *prima facie* case. The Hon. Speaker, however, reserved his decision for the next day.

In the City Edition of the '' Dhina Thanthi '', dated 2nd November 1957, a news item was published under the heading '' Emmanuel Murder Case.'' This item did not refer to the previous day's headlines but simply stated that the Hon. Sri C. Subramaniam stated that a case will be filed in connexion with the murder of Emmanuel and that he did not make mention of any particular person who is to be charge-sheeted in this connexion. It was also

---

a **Vide Annexure I on page 384 supra**